

ORIGINAL 25-CP

BEFORE THE ANIZONA CONTONATION COMMISSION 1 2 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL **CHAIRMAN** 3 JIM IRVIN **COMMISSIONER** 4 MARC SPITZER **COMMISSIONER** 5 IN THE MATTER OF: 6 BOB'S CASH EXPRESS, INC. 7 2155 East University Drive, Ste. 110 Tempe, AZ 85281 8 BOB'S LAND ONE, INC. 9 2155 East University Drive, Ste. 110 Tempe, AZ 85281 10 CHALLENGE/LAND USA, INC. 11 2155 East University Drive, Ste. 110 Tempe, AZ 85281 12 ARIZONA DIGITAL SECURITY TECH., INC. 13 2155 East University Drive, Ste. 110 Tempe, AZ 85281 14 AMERICAN DISH TECH., INC. 15 2155 East University Drive, Ste. 110 Tempe, AZ 85281 16 ROBERT H. ROBERTS 17 1300 West Warner Road, #2113 Gilbert AZ 85233 18 DONALD P. SHOEMAKER 19 555 North May Street, #14 Mesa, AZ 85201 20 GARRETT L. WRIGHT, (A/K/A GARRETT LEE) 21 5530 North May Street, #14 Phoenix, AZ 85015 22 DAN ("D.J.") R. HARPER 23 550 East Earll Drive, #7 Phoenix, AZ 85012 24 MICHAEL J. SAGNELLI 25 4011 West Camelback Road, Apt. Q-11 Phoenix, AZ 85019 26 JOYCE GOODMAN 27 2141 South Palo Verde Drive Apache Junction, AZ 85220 28

7007 JUNIU A 9:22

CORP COMMISSION OUMENT CONTROL

DOCKET NO. S-03474A-02-0000

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JUN 1 4 2002



PROCEDURAL ORDER

Respondents.

BY THE COMMISSION:

On May 8, 2002, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Temporary Order to Case and Desist ("T.O.") and a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") against Bob's Cash Express, Inc. ("Bob's Cash"), Bob's Land One, Inc. ("Bob's Land"), Challenge/Land USA, Inc. ("Challenge/Land"), Arizona Digital Security Tech, Inc. ("ADS"), American Dish Tech, Inc. ("Dish Tech"), Robert H. Roberts, Ronald P. Shoemaker, Garrett L. Wright (aka Garrett Lee), Dan ("D.J.") R. Harper, Michael J. Sagnelli and Joyce Goodman (collectively "Respondents"), in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of notes and/or investment contracts. As a result of the T.O., the Respondents were immediately ordered to cease and desist from violating the Act.

The Respondents were duly served with copies of the Notice.

On May 15, 2002, Respondent Shoemaker filed a response to the Division's action.

On May 23, 2002, a request for hearing was filed on behalf of all Respondents, except Respondent Sagnelli.

On June 3, 2002, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled for June 13, 2002.

On June 13, 2002, a pre-hearing conference was held to address the issues raised in the T.O. and the Notice and the presentation of evidence. An issue raised during the pre-hearing conference dealt with the question of whether adequate service of the Notice was made on Respondent Sagnelli since, according to Attorney Michael Salcido, who requested a hearing on behalf of the other-named Respondents stated that Respondent Sagnelli was no longer employed by or associated with the other-named Respondents when copies of the T.O. and Notice were served upon Respondent Roberts at the above-named corporate Respondents' offices. It was also indicated that, if the matter could not be resolved by a Consent Order, the other above-named Respondents would probably be represented by other counsel. Additionally, during this proceeding, Mr. Salcido acknowledged that neither Respondent Shoemaker, who had filed his own response to the T.O. and Notice, or any other of the individual above-named Respondents other than Respondent Roberts and his above-named corporate

Respondents had retained his services. At the behest of Respondent Roberts, Mr. Salcido indicated 1 he was merely requesting a hearing to protect the other Respondents' rights to a hearing. Because of 2 these fundamental questions being raised, Mr. Salcido indicated that, with the exception of 3 Respondent Sagnelli, he would file, within 10 days, written confirmation from the other above-named 4 individual Respondents that they agreed with his actions on their behalf. Mr. Salcido further 5 stipulated that the Respondents waived the 120 day time limit of the T.O. as set forth in A.A.C. R14-6 4-307 and that T.O. would remain in effect as to the Respondents until either a Consent Order(s) or 7 an Opinion and Order is approved by the Commission. 8 Accordingly, based on the circumstances, it was agreed that the pre-hearing conference 9 should be continued for 14 days to allow time for Ms. Salcido to file the written confirmation 10 described above and in the interim, to provide notice to all individually-named Respondents if they 11 wish to enter an appearance on their own behalf. 12 13

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the pre-hearing conference shall be continued from June 13, 2002 to June 27, 2002, at 9:00 a.m. at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street,

E. STERN

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

15 Phoenix, Arizona.

DATED this

day of June, 2002.

17

16

14

18

19

20

21

22

Copies of the foregoing were mailed/delivered day of June, 2002, to:

23

Michael Salcido

GUST ROSENFELD 24

201 N. Central Avenue, Ste. 3300

Phoenix, AZ 85073 25

Attorney for Respondents

26

Robert H. Roberts 1300 West Warner Road, #2113 Gilbert AZ 85233

27

28

1	Donald P. Shoemaker 555 North May Street, #14
2	Mesa, AZ 85201
3	Garrett L. Wright A/k/a Garrett Lee 5530 North May Street, #14
	Phoenix, AZ 85015
5 6	Dan ("D.J.) R. Harper 550 East Earll Drive, #7 Phoenix, AZ 85012
7	Michael J. Sagnelli
8	4011 West Camelback Road, Apt. Q11 Phoenix, AZ 85019
9	Joyce Goodman 2141 South Palo Verde Drive
10	Apache Junction, AZ 85220
11	Moira McCarthy
12	Assistant Attorney General ARIZONA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
13	1275 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
14	W. Mark Sendrow, Director
15	Securities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
16	1300 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
17	ARIZONA REPORT SERVICE, INC.
18	2627 N. Third Street, Ste. Three Phoenix, AZ 85004
19	
20	By: WY WYO
21	Molly Johnson Secretary to Marc E. Stern
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28